

[Provisional Translation Only]

This English translation of the original Japanese document is provided solely for information purposes.

Should there be any discrepancies between this translation and the Japanese original, the latter shall prevail.

**(Reference) Ichigo Office REIT Investment Corporation (“Ichigo Office,” 8975)
Voting Results of the Sixteenth Shareholder Meeting
(Held July 25, 2026)**

The voting results of Ichigo Office’s Sixteenth Shareholder Meeting held on July 25, 2026 (“Shareholder Meeting”) are as follows. Ichigo Office is deeply grateful for our shareholders’ support and we will continue our commitment to maximize shareholder value.

1. Shareholder Meeting Agenda

Proposal 1: Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation (AOI) – Updates to Reflect Changes in Japanese Rules & Regulations and Other Changes

Proposal 2: Nomination of Takafumi Kagiya as Executive Director

Proposal 3: Nomination of Yuji Maruo and Koto Hashimoto as Supervisory Directors

Proposal 4: Nomination of Keisuke Chiba as Alternate Executive Director

Proposal 5: Nomination of Nagahisa Kita as Alternate Supervisory Director

2. Shareholders and Voting Rights (Shares)

Total Number of Shareholders with Voting Rights: 22,653

Total Number of Voting Rights: 1,527,703

3. Voting Results

Proposal	For	Against	For Votes as % of Total Votes	Result
Proposal 1: Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation (AOI)	1,526,469	838	99.92%	Approved
Proposal 2: Nomination of Takafumi Kagiya as Executive Director	1,524,362	2,945	99.78%	Approved
Proposal 3: Nomination of Yuji Maruo and Koto Hashimoto as Supervisory Directors				
Yuji Maruo	1,526,172	1,135	99.90%	Approved
Koto Hashimoto	1,526,188	1,119	99.90%	Approved
Proposal 4: Nomination of Keisuke Chiba as Alternate Executive Director	1,506,198	21,111	98.59%	Approved
Proposal 5: Nomination of Nagahisa Kita as Alternate Supervisory Director	1,526,167	1,142	99.90%	Approved

(Note 1) The For votes percentage of each proposal is calculated as the sum of (1) For votes by shareholders who submitted their voting forms by the date prior to the Shareholder Meeting and shareholders who attended the Shareholder Meeting, and (2) the number of shareholder votes deemed to be in favor of the proposals under the Deemed Approval provision, divided by 1,527,703, the total number of shareholder votes (including votes for which the Deemed Approval provision was applied).

Pursuant to Article 93, Clause 1 of the Act on Investment Trusts and Investment Corporations, Ichigo Office stipulates rules with respect to Japan's Deemed Approval system for shareholder voting under Article 15, Clauses 1 and 2 of Ichigo Office's AOI.

(Note 2) Proposal 1 was approved as a result of the voting rights of shareholders who exercised their voting rights in writing or attended the shareholder meeting, together with those subject to the Deemed Approval provision, represented more than half of the total number of shareholder votes, and two-thirds or more of the voting rights of these shareholders were For votes.

(Note 3) Proposals 2 to 5 were approved as a result of the voting rights of shareholders who exercised their voting rights in writing or attended the shareholder meeting, together with those subject to the Deemed Approval provision, representing more than half of the voting rights were For votes.

4. Treatment of Voting Rights That Could Not Be Confirmed as For or Against Among Shareholders Who Attended the Shareholder Meeting

Because it was clear that the proposals had been approved based on the aggregate of (1) voting rights exercised in advance by the day before the Shareholder Meeting, (2) voting rights of shareholders attending the Shareholder Meeting whose votes for or against the proposals could be confirmed, and (3) voting rights deemed to be in favor pursuant to the Deemed Approval provision, the voting rights of shareholders attending the Shareholder Meeting whose For, Against, or Abstain votes could not be confirmed were not included in the vote count.

Reference

Article 15 of Ichigo Office's AOI

1. If a shareholder does not attend the shareholder meeting and does not exercise voting rights, the shareholder shall be deemed to be in favor ("Deemed Approval") of shareholder meeting proposals (when multiple proposals are submitted, those proposals that conflict with each other will be excluded from this Deemed Approval).

2. The number of votes by shareholders deemed to be in favor of shareholder meeting proposals based on Clause 1 above is added to the number of votes by shareholders in attendance.

3. Clauses 1 and 2 above are not applicable to proposals regarding the following if (i) a minority shareholder owning at least 1% of total shares outstanding for at least six consecutive months submits a notice of opposition to the Investment Corporation (or if the convener of the shareholder meeting is not the Executive Director or a Supervisory Director, then to the Investment Corporation and the shareholder meeting convener) within two weeks of the earlier of the date that the Investment Corporation announces the proposals on its website or the date the convener announces the proposal; or (ii) the Investment Corporation expresses opposition to the proposals in shareholder meeting materials or on its website.

- (1) the appointment or dismissal of the Executive Director or Supervisory Directors;
 - (2) the execution or termination of asset management agreements with the Asset Management Company;
 - (3) the dissolution of the Investment Corporation;
 - (4) reverse share splits;
 - (5) the waiving of the liability of the Executive Director, Supervisory Directors, or External Auditors; and
 - (6) approval for absorption-type and consolidation-type (new entity) mergers.
4. Clauses 1 and 2 above are not applicable to proposals regarding amendments to Article 15.